

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 * * *

10 TITA TED BORU,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 INGRAM MICRO SERVICES, LLC,

14 Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00982-RFB-BNW

15 **REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

16 On December 2, 2019, the court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*
17 *pauperis* and screened his complaint. (ECF No. 10.) After screening Plaintiff's complaint, the
18 court dismissed it without prejudice and gave Plaintiff until January 2, 2020 to file an amended
19 complaint. (*Id.* at 6.) Plaintiff was advised that failure to comply with the court's order would
20 result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. (*Id.*) Given that plaintiff has not complied
21 with the court's order or sought relief from this deadline, he appears to have abandoned this case.


22 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that plaintiff Tita Ted Boru's case be dismissed
23 without prejudice.

24 **NOTICE**

25 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned
26 to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation
27 may file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being
28 served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely

1 objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d
2 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

3
4 DATED: February 11, 2020

5
6 

7 BREND A WEKSLER
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28